



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,138	07/24/2003	Brian Guth	1/1370	9348	
28501	28501 7590 07/14/2005			EXAMINER	
	P. MORRIS	KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY			
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION 900 RIDGEBURY ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX		1623			
RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877-0368			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
065 - 4-45 - 0	10/626,138	GUTH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2005. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims	·	·			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/PTO 413\			
2) Notice of Neterletices Cited (PTO-692) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 3/17/2005 has been received, entered and carefully considered.

The following information provided in the amendment affects the instant application:

- 1. Claims 2-3 have been canceled.
- 2. New Claims 15-20 have been added.
- 3. Claims 1, 7 and 13 have been amended.
- 4. Remarks drawn to rejections under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, 103 and double patenting.

Claims 1 and 4-20 are pending in the case.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Objections

The objection to claim 14 has been overcome by amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as non-enabling for the prevention of heart failure has been overcome by deletion of the term prevention in claim 1.

Art Unit: 1623

Double Patenting

Claims 1 and 4-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10 and 14 of copending Application No. 10/257,481 ('481 application) is being maintained for reasons of record.

Applicants argue that myocardial disease is not the same as heart failure. Since the claim does not define myocardial disease and according to Merck Manual heart failure is a clinical manifestation of myocardial hypertrophy a substantial overlap of limitations between the instant claims and claims of the copending '481 patent is seen.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1 and 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Psiorz et al (US 5,175,157) in combination with and Rieu et al (Eur. J. Med. Chem., 1993, 28, 683-691), The Merck Manual (1987, Fifteenth Edition, pp 519-521), Bergeron (US 6083991), Hodges et al (US 5308853), Kleeman (US 5,968,978) and Liu (US 5,721,217) is being maintained for reasons of record.

Applicants argue that:

- 1. Psiorz et al discloses cilobradine as a cardioactive substance which reduces heart rate and has the effect of reducing the oxygen requirement of the heart and that it fails to teach the use of cilobradine to treat heart failure.
- 2. Rieu et al, Merck manual, Bergeron and Hodges et al do not fill the gap between Psiorz and the instant claims.

This is not found to be persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,138 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

The instant claims are drawn to a method of treatment of heart failure. Heart failure is not further defined by the claim. Hence an abnormal functioning of the heart is seen as heart failure.

Psiorz et al teach the use of cilobradine as a cardioactive agent that lowers heart rate. This means that the heart rate is irregular. Rieu mentions cilobradine with reference to bradycardiac agents i.e. an agent that helps maintain regular heart beat or an agent that rectifies irregular heart beat with not toxic side effects. According to the Merck manual palpitations or irregular pulsation of the heart leads to heart failure. Even though it may occur less often according to Merck Manual it is still a condition that could manifest as a result of palpitations. Bergeron teaches the use of vasodialators, ACE inhibitors and cardioglycosides for the treatment of cardiac arrhythmias (irregular heart beat). Kleeman teaches the use of diuretics, cardioglycosides, ACE inhibitors, ARB's and beta-blockers for treatment of heart failure. Hence the prior art in combination suggest the use of cilobradine for the treatment of heart failure (irregular heart functioning). Since cilobradine is taught to be used for maintaining regular heart beat by Psiorz (reducing heart rate if it is high) with no toxic side effects (col. 37, lines 24-25) and is taught (Rieu) to have no negative inotropic (affecting the force of muscular contraction) or hypotensive (low blood pressure) effect and since irregular pulsation of the heart leads to heart failure (Merck) one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use cilobradine for the treatment of heart failure.

Conclusion

Claims 1 and 4-20 are rejected

Art Unit: 1623

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ganapathy Krishnan whose telephone number is 571-272-0654. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1623

GK

Page 6

JAMES O. WILSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600